

BTS System Line Sweep and PIM Testing

By Nicholas Cannon

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Sweep Test basics	2
3.0 PIM test characteristics	3
4.0 The difference between Line sweeping and PIM testing	4
5.0 Site performance indicators	4
6.0 Summary	6

1.0 Introduction

In this current exciting cellular industry, Cellular system performance requirements are at an all time high. This is an extremely important part of the day-to-day operation of a modern telecommunications network and directly reflects on the overall perceived quality levels as experienced by each individual subscriber. We have all experienced dropped calls and poor quality connections while using our cellular devices and these frustrating performance problems directly contribute to subscriber churn, sometimes to a level exceeding 30% of the overall reasons a subscriber decides to change service providers. This has a massive impact for the service provider, as it is a key indicator reflecting the sentiment of the customer. It is not surprising to learn that staff bonus plans take this indicator of performance into account heavily.


The modern communications system is required to perform at a very efficient level. This quality standard has changed greatly during the past few years and will continue to change as current 3G and 4G systems compete for market share and increase infrastructure and coverage. Line sweep testing is more important than ever and emerging Passive Intermodulation (PIM) testing requirements have lifted the bar even higher. This ultimately means the Contractors, Cell Technicians and Performance Engineers must work closely together to achieve the required quality of service. In this whitepaper, we will examine the basics of both Line Sweeping and PIM testing, how they work together and why we need to perform these tests to a high standard.

2.0 Line sweep basics

Cellular system performance starts with correct line sweep measurements. This acceptance test is usually broken down into two basic tests: Return loss and insertion loss. Both are very frequency dependant and can vary greatly within a specified band.

Return loss measures the power transfer efficiency of the antenna system. It is essential that minimal power is reflected back towards the transmitter. Any reflected power can distort the transmitted signal and when powerful enough, cause damage to the transmitter. A return loss figure of 20 dB indicates that 1% of the transmitted signal is being reflected back to the transmitter and 99% is reaching the antenna, this is generally considered good performance. A return loss of 10 dB indicates that 10% of the signal is being reflected and should be considered poor. If the return loss measured 0dB, 100% of the power would be reflected, this would likely be an open or short circuit. Every connection erodes the possible return loss and thus minimal connections are recommended. Return loss can be masked by high insertion loss and this is why DTF plots are sometimes requested.

Insertion loss measures the amount of signal loss through a coaxial system. Ideally the insertion loss will be very low. Again, every connection adds to the system loss. If a cable has 3 dB of insertion loss, 50% of the power is being lost within the cable system. This effectively turns a 16 watt transmitter into an 8 watt transmitter. High loss affects the site's ability to not only get signals out to the subscribers, but heavily affect the handsets ability to transmit back to the BTS receivers. Both problems can seem very slight but do drastically affect the subscribers' user experience in reduced coverage area and short handset battery life.



Cell site insertion loss is commonly measured with a short circuit attached to the top end of the cable and site master measuring return loss at the bottom end. The signal will travel up the cable. When it reaches the short circuit 100% will reflect back down to the instrument. In this test scenario, the signal experiences the cable loss twice (up and down) so the final figure should be divided by 2. A measurement of 3dB will equate to an insertion loss of 1.5dB. As requirements vary greatly between operators, specific operator test procedures must be used in all cases to ensure compliance of test results.

While system designers take this information into account when designing the site specifications, it is important to be aware of the effects that insertion loss and cable return loss can have on the overall system return loss. A very good system return loss can mask possible component / antenna problems and therefore may not be a good thing; it could easily be due to a cable that exhibits high insertion loss and a faulty antenna. As a result, a smaller than expected signal level would reach the antenna and a large percentage would be reflected, only to be dissipated within the high loss cable. This results in a transmitted signal level much lower than expected and the coverage area would be restricted greatly. Comprehensive testing is required.

3.0 PIM test characteristics

PIM testing is a measure of linearity within a cellular transmission system. It is a very comprehensive test of mechanical integrity. Two high power test signals are injected into the antenna line. If any corroded, dirty or mechanically compromised connections or components exist, the two test signals will mix and produce intermodulation. When performing PIM tests, the test system usually monitors the 3rd order product as it is the strongest of all intermodulation orders. By minimizing the 3rd order products, all other intermodulation is also minimized. Some site configurations cannot generate PIM, particularly if only one Transmitter is used. There is still a compelling argument to perform PIM testing on these smaller sites to ensure that further expansion will yield excellent performance, as the RF plumbing will be in great operating condition and suitable for multi carrier operation. A methodical approach must be followed when PIM testing as continual breaking of connections will cause premature failure, particularly when testing antennas and TTLNA's.

Sites that have multiple transmitters will show performance degradation from internally generated PIM when poor connections exist. This may become apparent with Rx noise imbalance alarms and dropped calls/early handoff. The BTS supervisory system should inform the operator of these conditions. In cases like these, repairing the connections/antennas/linearity problems with a PIM tester is the only real solution. Unfortunately, a large percentage of old sites fall into this category.

4.0 The difference between Line Sweeping and PIM testing

Line Sweep testing and PIM are very different tests. Both are very important and accurate measures of a cell site's ability to provide service and perform optimally. Line sweeping measures the signal losses and reflections of the transmission system. PIM testing is a measure of construction quality and poor quality will result in self-interference.

PIM testing performance measurements are not relevant unless accompanied by comprehensive line sweep tests. It is important to recognize that PIM test measurements performed on a transmission system that has poor microwave performance are irrelevant indicators of the performance of the transmission system. Unfortunately, lack of understanding of the way these tests relate to performance has not only resulted in compromised test data, it also causes the need to re-test the systems repeatedly. In turn, connections and components are becoming increasingly overworked and greatly contributes to line sweep and PIM problems.

PIM requires both low system loss and good return loss (VSWR) to perform to an acceptable standard. If PIM testing is performed prior to line sweep testing the operator may not be aware of the impedance characteristics of the transmission line. High insertion loss attenuates the PIM test signals preventing the high power characteristics from reaching the very components which require this stringent testing. Poor Return loss reflects a percentage of the PIM test signals back into the test set causing some signal cancellation that can report a false positive.

It is becoming increasingly common to take advantage of poor line sweep performance to pass a PIM test.


By performing the line sweep test prior to PIM testing, the operator can be confident the insertion loss and return loss data at acceptable levels. This data in turn ensures that the PIM test signals actually reach the components at the highest possible signal level, offering the most accurate indicator of true PIM performance. By constructing a system using modern low PIM practices, the need to break the transmission system back open will be minimized. If the lines are disassembled again to repair or clean a connector, the line sweep data will need to be repeated.

5.0 Site performance indicators

BTS alarm conditions are designed to provide insight into the current performance issues. Many network operators are assuming that unfixable performance faults are due to poor PIM levels. It needs to be carefully considered if PIM can actually be a possible problem within the cell site. Unless the site has two or more transmitters on one feed line, self-generated PIM is unlikely. The BTS will offer indications of PIM performance and this is usually reported as a "Rx/Main diversity noise imbalance" alarm. This data indicates that the noise floor between the main line and the diversity line are different in level. All major BTS system manufacturers offer this alarm condition but different terms are sometimes used.

If the feed line that carries the transmit signals has the raised noise floor, then PIM is highly suspected. External interference will be present on both the main and diversity feed lines. This interference or raised noise floor could be externally generated PIM and can be quickly isolated by wilting (Shutting down) one or more transmitters on the affected sector. It is worth noting that a system that has a calculatable 5th or 7th order relationship usually needs three or four transmitters on air to generate enough power within the PIM products to elevate the Rx noise floor and disrupt service.

A variance in download speed problems are often blamed on PIM and the operators are shocked to learn that the issue is two or three different site sectors are actually competing for dominance where the coverage overlaps. A field strength measurement system that incorporates a site ID decoding capability is an invaluable tool for adjusting transmitter power levels where a number of sites overlap in an area that covers a high density of subscribers. The real issue in this case is unhappy subscribers due to a signal dominance battle between a high capacity BTS sector and a lower capacity site where the coverage overlaps. A subscriber does not receive consistent data performance due to the cellular device having a number of connection choices, all with different data speed performance. The performance engineer can simply instruct the operations center to vary the transmit power on one site while the download speed, pilot levels and site ID are monitored live. This can take an hour or less to rectify without even the need for a tower crew.



A cellular site that reports elevated Rx noise floor on both Rx lines (Duplex/Simplex) would indicate that the source is external. This is often a cable TV amplifier that is leaking RF power. The BTS receiver is very sensitive and can easily suffer from external noise that happens to cross into the sites receive band. Another good example of this type of fault is problems caused by cellular jammers. Church groups and Schools often employ this technology without realizing the possible problems these items can cause.

6.0 Summary

Today's cellular systems are pushing site performance to a level never before seen within the industry. It is essential to approach performance problems with a multi disciplinary methodical system for troubleshooting and not relying on just one or two measurement platforms. By arming Contractors, Cell Tech's and Performance Engineers with the correct testing tools and high level training coupled with strong industry experience so the exact performance parameter can be isolated and measured, a whole new level of cellular system performance can be realized. Line Sweep and PIM testing are key tools to find possible BTS issues. To complete the toolkit, over the air testing and interference measurement solutions are also required, as is a thorough testing capability and understanding of backhaul capacity and performance. 4G/ LTE communications platforms force network operators to approach performance optimization using both conventional and modern troubleshooting methods.

Notes

Anritsu

Anritsu Corporation

5-1-1 Onna, Atsugi-shi, Kanagawa, 243-8555 Japan
Phone: +81-46-223-1111
Fax: +81-46-296-1238

• U.S.A.

Anritsu Company

1155 East Collins Boulevard, Suite 100,
Richardson, TX, 75081 U.S.A.
Toll Free: 1-800-ANRITSU (267-4878)
Phone: +1-972-644-1777
Fax: +1-972-671-1877

• Canada

Anritsu Electronics Ltd.

700 Silver Seven Road, Suite 120, Kanata,
Ontario K2V 1C3, Canada
Phone: +1-613-591-2003
Fax: +1-613-591-1006

• Brazil

Anritsu Eletrônica Ltda.

Praça Amadeu Amaral, 27 - 1 Andar
01327-010 - Bela Vista - São Paulo - SP - Brasil
Phone: +55-11-3283-2511
Fax: +55-11-3288-6940

• Mexico

Anritsu Company, S.A. de C.V.

Av. Ejército Nacional No. 579 Piso 9, Col. Granada
11520 México, D.F., México
Phone: +52-55-1101-2370
Fax: +52-55-5254-3147

• U.K.

Anritsu EMEA Ltd.

200 Capability Green, Luton, Bedfordshire LU1 3LU, U.K.
Phone: +44-1582-433280
Fax: +44-1582-731303

• France

Anritsu S.A.

12 Avenue du Québec,
Bâtiment Iris 1-Silic 638,
91140 VILLEBON SUR YVETTE, France
Phone: +33-1-60-92-15-50
Fax: +33-1-64-46-10-65

• Germany

Anritsu GmbH

Nemetschek Haus, Konrad-Zuse-Platz 1
81829 München, Germany
Phone: +49 (0) 89 442308-0
Fax: +49 (0) 89 442308-55

• Italy

Anritsu S.p.A.

Via Elio Vittorini, 129, 00144 Roma, Italy
Phone: +39-06-509-9711
Fax: +39-06-502-2425

• Sweden

Anritsu AB

Borgafjordsgatan 13, 164 40 KISTA, Sweden
Phone: +46-8-534-707-00
Fax: +46-8-534-707-30

• Finland

Anritsu AB

Teknobulevardi 3-5, FI-01530 VANTAA, Finland
Phone: +358-20-741-8100
Fax: +358-20-741-8111

• Denmark

Anritsu A/S (for Service Assurance)

Anritsu AB (for Test & Measurement)

Kirkebjerg Allé 90 DK-2605 Brøndby, Denmark
Phone: +45-7211-2200
Fax: +45-7211-2210

• Russia

Anritsu EMEA Ltd.

Representation Office in Russia

Tverskaya str. 16/2, bld. 1, 7th floor.
Russia, 125009, Moscow
Phone: +7-495-363-1694
Fax: +7-495-935-8962

• United Arab Emirates

Anritsu EMEA Ltd.

Dubai Liaison Office

P O Box 500413 - Dubai Internet City
Al Thuraya Building, Tower 1, Suite 701, 7th Floor
Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Phone: +971-4-3670352
Fax: +971-4-3688460

• Singapore

Anritsu Pte. Ltd.

60 Alexandra Terrace, #02-08, The Comtech (Lobby A)
Singapore 118502
Phone: +65-6282-2400
Fax: +65-6282-2533

• India

Anritsu Pte. Ltd.

India Branch Office

3rd Floor, Shri Lakshminarayan Niwas, #2726, 80 ft Road,
HAL 3rd Stage, Bangalore - 560 075, India
Phone: +91-80-4058-1300
Fax: +91-80-4058-1301

• P. R. China (Hong Kong)

Anritsu Company Ltd.

Units 4 & 5, 28th Floor, Greenfield Tower, Concordia Plaza,
No. 1 Science Museum Road, Tsim Sha Tsui East,
Kowloon, Hong Kong, P.R. China
Phone: +852-2301-4980
Fax: +852-2301-3545

• P. R. China (Beijing)

Anritsu Company Ltd.

Beijing Representative Office

Room 2008, Beijing Fortune Building,
No. 5, Dong-San-Huan Bei Road,
Chao-Yang District, Beijing 100004, P.R. China
Phone: +86-10-6590-9230
Fax: +86-10-6590-9235

• Korea

Anritsu Corporation, Ltd.

8F Hyunju Bldg. 832-41, Yeoksam-Dong,
Kangnam-ku, Seoul, 135-080, Korea
Phone: +82-2-553-6603
Fax: +82-2-553-6604

• Australia

Anritsu Pty Ltd.

Unit 21/270 Ferntree Gully Road, Notting Hill
Victoria, 3168, Australia
Phone: +61-3-9558-8177
Fax: +61-3-9558-8255

• Taiwan

Anritsu Company Inc.

7F, No. 316, Sec. 1, Neihu Rd., Taipei 114, Taiwan
Phone: +886-2-8751-1816
Fax: +886-2-8751-1817

